

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/500,939	07/08/2004	Pascal Guerrero	RFR0041	1483	
7.	590 05/24/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Valeo Inc			TRIEU, THAI BA		
	perty Department				
4100 North Atlantic Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Auburn Hills,	MI 48326		3748		
	•		DATE MAILED: 05/24/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

"" " C

Advisory Action					
Before	the	Filing	of an	Appeal	Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/500,939	GUERRERO, PASCAL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thai-Ba Trieu	3748		

Advisory Action	10/500,939	GUERRERO, PASCAL				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thai-Ba Trieu	3748				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 16 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
 a) X The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. 						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	LED WITHIN			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		cotca dialitis.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	·					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	•	•				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ll be entered and an ex	φlanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowand	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	\mathcal{C}	Madabre	u			
		Thai-Ba Trieu				
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3748				

05118106

Application No. 10/500,939

Continuation of 13. Other: In the Amendment filed on May 16, 2006, the limitation of claim 7 is incorporated into the independent claims 1 and 14; however, the amended claims 1 and 14 have not overcome the rejection. Accordingly, the final rejection, mailed on February 17, 2006, is hereby maintained based on the references to Amaral et al. (Pub. Number EP 1 170 498 A1) and Lehmann et al. (Pub. Number DE 199 24 677 A1).